

SAINI PATRICK'S DAY, 2004

Case 1:04-cv-10964-RGS Document 1 Filed 05/14/2004 Page 1 of 26

United States District Court  
Eastern District of Illinois  
(7th Circuit)

Bardin,  
Lynch  
Howard  
DeClerico  
Krueger

Glendora,  
Plaintiff

versus

Robert S. Lznik  
1010 5th AV  
Seattle, Washington 98104

VERIFIED

Carol B. Amon and  
Robert C. Heinemann and

Ralph Vega  
225 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, NY 11201

COMPLAINT

JURY

TRIAL

Defendants \_\_\_\_\_ Boudin and

\_\_\_\_\_ Lynch and

\_\_\_\_\_ Howard and

\_\_\_\_\_ DeClerico and

Susan J. Krueger

1 Courthouse Way

BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02210

DEMANDED

21

John Bauer and  
Peter Covello and  
John Bright and  
Rhoda Freeman and  
Contrarian Capital  
Management

Management  
411 West Putnam Av  
Greenwich, Ct 06830

Community Television  
of Prince George's  
County and  
Sherry Byrnes and  
Gina Hammond Jordan  
9475 Lottsford Rd  
Largo, Maryland 20714

Ginsburg Sentelle, Henderson,  
Randolph, Rogers, Tatel, Garland,  
Hogan, Urbina, Sullivan, Robertson,  
Kollar-Kotelly and Kennedy.

and Harry T. Edwards  
333 Constitution Ave NW  
Washington, DC 20001

Village of Chatham, NY and  
Chatham Police  
77 Main St  
Chatham, NY 12037

George C. Dixon and  
Jo Ann Dixon  
77 Main St  
Chatham, NY 12037

James Kleinbaum  
18 Park Row  
Chatham, NY 12037

Defendants.

1. This is a verified complaint.  
Under penalty of perjury, Glendora  
asseverates she is telling the  
truth, the whole truth and nothing  
but the truth; so help her, God.
2. Defendants have not.
3. And for this they are being  
sued pursuant to criminal code 18  
USC § 1001.
4. This is a Rule 8, Federal Rules  
of Civil Procedure, notice complaint.
5. Glendora proffers the papers  
that exhibit the violations of each de-  
fendant. Defendants showed no acumen  
covering up their tracks.
6. This court has subject-matter  
jurisdiction because of federal question  
and/or diversity of citizenship.
7. This court has venue because  
this is a civil rights action. A  
civil rights action can be brought in  
any federal court in the USA.

— F.3d —  
(Cite as: 1995 WL 15830 (2nd Cir. (N.Y.)))

GLENDORA, Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.  
CABLEVISION SYSTEMS  
CORPORATION, Charles F. Dolan,  
William J. Bell, Marc A.  
Lustgarten, Francis F. Randolph, Jr.,  
John Tatta, James A. Kofalt, Joseph  
Armara, Thomas Garger and William  
Quino, Defendants-Appellees.

Nos. 940, 94-7289.

United States Court of Appeals,  
Second Circuit

Jan. 11, 1995.

#### VAN GRAAFELAND

\*1 Glendora, who prefers to be known simply as "Glendora", appeals from a judgment of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (for the plaintiff, J.) dismissing her... as complainant (Bricani, J.) dismissing her... as complainant pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Like many pro se complaints, Glendora's is verbose where verbosity is unnecessary and sparse where causation allegations are required. However, in view of the well-settled rule, particularly applicable in pro se civil rights actions, that a complaint should not be dismissed "unless it appears beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of [her] claim which would entitle [her] to relief," Brandom v. Clark, 927 F.2d (her) 698, 705 (2d Cir.1991) (quoting Cooley v. Gibson, 335 U.S. 41, 45-6 (1957)), we remand to the district court for further consideration.

— F.3d —  
(Cite as: 1995 WL 15830, \*1 (2d Cir. (N.Y.)))

Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984, as amended and supplemented by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, codified principally in 47 U.S.C. Subchapter V-A of Chapter 5, §§ 521, et seq. To the extent, if any, that the state and federal regimes differ, the federal statutes control. See Capital Cities Cable, Inc. v. Crisp, 467 U.S. 691, 698-700 (1984); Cable Television Ass'n of New York, Inc. v. FCC, 934 F.2d 91, 97-98 (2d Cir.1991). The New York Executive Law provides for the appointment of a commission with general oversight powers with respect to New York cable operations, see §§ 814 and 815, and requires that cable systems be franchises by the municipalities in which they provide service, § 819. (FN1) It also contains a broad proscription against "censorship" by the commission, the municipality and the cable company itself. See § 829.1. Section 531 of 47 U.S.C. provides for the establishment of cable channels for "public, educational, or governmental use" and section 531(e) limits the cable operator's exercise of editorial control over these channels.

INSISTS THAT a United States  
judge read her complaint, decide  
her complaint and sign every paper.  
This complaint is to be adjudica-  
ted, decided, determined and pro- m  
nounced judicially and only judicially.  
Glendora will suffer no more  
of this synthetic, artificial  
and addled hoop-jumping by kid  
law clerks with acuminate heads.  
Glendora is adamant hereon.

17 The controlling phrase  
in all venue laws 28 USC § 1404 →  
is "in the interest of  
justice". Congress said what it  
meant and meant what it said.

18 reserved

are not to answer for them.

21 By common law, your job is simply to ensure that the rights of both parties are protected. When you cease to do this, you lose jurisdiction (28 US Code Sections 144 and 354). 15

22 Even with the multiple layers of self-interest and the scars taken by your soul to get this job, you can intercept that this is right for America.

21 Glendore will not sign a magistrate - consent form. So such form does not have to be sent. One dissent stops a magistrate consent for all.

22 Please send the summons signed

g2mmz

15 Defendants Bouclin, Howard,  
DeLerio and Krueger are sued for  
their abandoned violation of a  
very clear law: 28 US Cwt  
§ 63b.

16 Further they violated the US  
Constitution, FRCP and the Pledge  
of Allegiance to the American  
flag.

17 Krueger is additionally  
sued for impersonating a  
circuit judge.

18 Their renegade story  
is told in attached papers infra  
of March 2 and 10.

WHEREFORE, therefore  
and ergo plaintiff Glendora must  
be awarded \$180,000,000.00  
(one hundred eighty million  
dollars) in compensatory and  
punitive damages together with  
the costs of this action and any  
further relief just and proper.

43  
4

Dated: Easter, 2004, Anno Domini  
State of New York

yours in truth and in amor  
patris,  
Glendora

A Chat With Glendora  
On 42 TV stations including  
Washington, DC

Box 124  
Ghent, NY 12075  
914 949 9495

21

WITH OUT PREJUDICE  
UCC 1-207  
GLEN DORA

Innibus 21  
Opus 1114  
25 hours  
\$215.32

Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of Service of Summons

TO: \_\_\_\_\_

A lawsuit has been commenced against you (or the entity on whose behalf you are addressed). A copy of the complaint is attached to this notice. It has been filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Illinois (7th circuit) and has been assigned docket number \_\_\_\_\_.

This is not a formal summons or notification from the court, but rather my request that you sign and return the enclosed waiver of service in order to save the costs of serving you with a judicial summons and an additional copy of the complaint. The cost of service will be avoided if I receive a signed copy of the waiver within 30 days after the date designated below as the date on which this Notice and Request is sent. I enclose a stamped and addressed envelope for your use. An extra copy of the waiver is also attached for your records.

If you comply with this request and return the signed waiver, it will be filed with the court and no summons will be served on you. The action will then proceed as if you had been served on the date the waiver is filed, except that you will not be obligated to answer the complaint before 60 days from the date designated below as the date on which this notice is sent (or before 90 days from that date if your address is not in any judicial district of the United States).

If you do not return the signed waiver within the time indicated, I will take appropriate steps to effect formal service in manner authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and will then, as authorized by those Rules, ask the court to require you (or the party on whose behalf you are addressed) to pay the full costs of such service. In that connection, please read the statement concerning the duty of parties to waive the service of the summons, which is set forth on the reverse side (or at the foot) of the waiver form.

I affirm that this request is being sent to you on behalf of the plaintiff, this 10 day of April, 2004, Anno Domini.

*May 10  
Cohen*  
*Glenadorz*  
Signature of Plaintiff's Attorney or  
Unrepresented Plaintiff

Effective 1/1/93 in compliance with  
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of Service of Summons

TO: \_\_\_\_\_

A lawsuit has been commenced against you (or the entity on whose behalf you are addressed). A copy of the complaint is attached to this notice. It has been filed in the United States District Court for the **Eastern District of Illinois (7th Circuit)** and has been assigned docket #04-cv-10964.

This is not a formal summons or notification from the court, but rather my request that you sign and return the enclosed waiver of service in order to save the costs of serving you with a judicial summons and an additional copy of the complaint. The cost of service will be avoided if I receive a signed copy of the waiver within 10 days after the date designated below as the date on which this Notice and Request is sent. I enclose a stamped and addressed envelope for your use. An extra copy of the waiver is also attached for your records.

If you comply with this request and return the signed waiver, it will be filed with the court and no summons will be served on you. The action will then proceed as if you had been served on the date the waiver is filed, except that you will not be obligated to answer the complaint before 60 days from the date designated below as the date on which this notice is sent (or before 90 days from that date if your address is not in any judicial district of the United States).

If you do not return the signed waiver within the time indicated, I will take appropriate steps to effect formal service in manner authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and will then, as authorized by those Rules, ask the court to require you (or the party on whose behalf you are addressed) to pay the full costs of such service. In that connection, please read the statement concerning the duty of parties to waive the service of the summons, which is set forth on the reverse side (or at the foot) of the waiver form.

I affirm that this request is being sent to you on behalf of the plaintiff, this 10th day of May, 2004, Anno Domini

Glen Stendora

May 10/04 Signature of Plaintiff's Attorney or  
Unrepresented Plaintiff

Effective A/O 12/1/93 in compliance with  
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Under penalty of perjury  
Glendora declares she served  
by first class U.S. Mail on ~~4/14/04~~, <sup>10</sup> ~~5/14/04~~  
~~Patriot Day~~, the following upon each <sup>5/14/04</sup> ~~Glen~~  
defendant:

- a. two copies each of the notice of lawsuit and request for waiver of summons
- b. two copies each of the waiver of service of summons
- c. two copies each of the duty to avoid unnecessary costs of service of summons
- d. A copy of the complaint

An envelope stamped 37¢ and addressed to

Glendora  
A Chat with Glendora  
Box 124  
Ghent, NY 12075

Glendora served the within upon  
all defendants listed infra at the  
addresses listed herein.

Yours in truth and in amor patriae,  
**Glendora**

**WITH OUT PREJUDICE**  
**UCC 1-207**  
**GLENDORA**

Glendora  
A Chat with Glendora  
Box 124  
Ghent, NY 12075

5/10/41 M

hrs & \$

Omnibus 21 Glendora  
vs Laznik

Omn

opus 1114

32 hours

\$256.88

Glendora vs Nasty  
Notch, Bully Dixons,  
Kleinbaum

opus 1136

163 hours

\$357.62





Fresh out of college -- too fresh -- Glendora went to work for NBC Hollywood. This afforded the opportunity to meet such greats as Bob Hope, Groucho Marx, Jack Benny, Red Skelton and Dinah Shore. "The salary afforded little else." Glendora hosted TV shows for over 14 years. "It always amazed me how much the public will stand." "All in all", she says, "I've been on television 30 years. You can verify this by counting the rings under my eyes." (OVER)

Waiver of Service of Summons

TO: GLENDORA

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of a summons in the action of Calendar v. ZSNIK, which is case number \_\_\_\_\_, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Illinois. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or the entity on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or the entity on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days after 4/19/04 (date request was sent), or within 90 days after that date if the request was sent outside the United States.

Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature  
Printed/typed name: \_\_\_\_\_  
(as \_\_\_\_\_)  
(of \_\_\_\_\_)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant who, after being notified of an action and asked to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service of a summons, unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an incorrect place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

A defendant who waives service must within the time specified on the waiver serve on the plaintiff's attorney (or unrepresented plaintiff) a response to the complaint and may also file a signed copy of the response with the court. If the answer or waiver is not served within this time, a default judgment may be taken against the defendant, by waiving service. A defendant is allowed more time to answer than if the summons has been actually served when the request for waiver of service was received.

Effective A/o 12/1/93 in compliance with  
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

wavier of Service of Summons

TO: GLEN DORA

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of a summons in the action of GLEN DORA v. in the United States District Court for the \_\_\_\_\_, which is case number \_\_\_\_\_. I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or the entity on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

I (or the entity on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days after 4/14/04 (the date request was sent), or within 90 days after that date if the request was sent outside the United States.

Date

Signature  
Printed/typed name: \_\_\_\_\_  
(as \_\_\_\_\_)  
(of \_\_\_\_\_)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant who, after being notified of an action and asked to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service. Unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

If it is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons or even its defense and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons) and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

A defendant who waives service must within the time specified on the waiver (or to the plaintiff's attorney for unrepresented plaintiff) file a response to the complaint and also file a signed copy of the response with the court. If the answer or motion is not served within this time, a default judgment may be taken against the defendant. By waiving service, a defendant is allowed more time to answer than if the summons has been actually served when the response is filed.

Waiver of service was received.  
Effective 1/1/93 in compliance with  
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure





and embossed by the clerk together  
with the civic duty form to waive  
the cost of serving a summons.

!6  
23 All defendants are sued  
for mail fraud.

19 If you don't give me justice,  
if you rob me of due process, if  
you do any of this ~~sus~~is ponter  
stuff, I want my money back.  
This \$150 comes out of my social  
security. You have a job to do:  
protect America, enforce the  
states, the US Constitution  
and the US Code. You get \$140,000  
plus annually to achieve same.

20 This case has to be litiga-  
ted by me plaintiff and me  
defendants. You are not to act  
as their defense attorney. They  
have to answer. If they don't,  
I get Federal-Rules-of-Civil-Proce-  
dure default judgment, Rule 55. You

14 Promiscuously defendants  
Violated criminal code 18 USC  
§1001. Glendora invokes 18  
USC § 1941 et seq, Racketeer-  
Influenced-Crime Organizations  
Act (RICO), to provide this  
court with subject-matter  
jurisdiction in conjunction with  
the complaints herein that cite  
criminal statutes, such as mail  
fraud, such as tampering with the  
record, inter alia.

### NO MAGISTRATES

15 No magistrate is to touch  
Glendora's action in any way without  
her consent in writing. This is the  
law, 28 USC § 636

### NO CLERKS

16 Glendora has a Constitutional  
right to an Article III Judge. She

8 Most defendants are state actors. All are liable to Glendora under Title 42 U.S. Code Section 1983, Civil Rights.

9 All are liable under 42 USC §1985, conspiracy.

10 By Rule 12, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this court must accept Glendora's allegations as true.

11 Remember Glendora has a constitutional right to be prose. If you have a problem with that, activate 28 USC §144 and §355 amanu.

12 This action is a legal proceeding by which Glendora enforces and demands her rights in a court of justice.

13 Pro-se, civil-rights actions cannot be dismissed until plaintiff has the opportunity to ...



Monica Connell  
120 Broadway 27th floor  
NY, NY 10271

4 Robert E. Gerber  
1 Bowling Green  
New York, NY 10004

Tracy Hope Davis  
33 Whitehall St., 21st fl  
NY, NY 10004

Marc Abrams and  
Morris J. Messel and  
Shelley C. Chapman and  
Wilkie Farr & Gallagher  
787 Seventh Av  
NY, NY 10019

Nesty Natalia and  
Brat Sister Lydia and  
Mariz mother and  
father and  
brother Carlos and  
Preferred Country Properties  
19 Center Square  
Chatham, NY 12037

Defendants Carolyn Dines  
King and  
Charles R. Fulbruge III  
and Kim Folse and  
Michael Brown and  
Shelley E. Saltzman and  
Patrick E. Higgenbotham and  
W. Eugene Davis  
600 Camp St  
New Orleans, La 70130

Defendants Tom S Lee and  
J. T. Noblin  
245 E Capitol St  
Jackson, Mississippi 39201

Defendants John M. Welker  
and Roseann B. Mackenzie  
and Gerald Whidbee  
and Stanley A. Hader  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007

Defendants \_\_\_\_\_ Fernandez  
and \_\_\_\_\_ Tallman  
95 7th St  
San Francisco, CA 94119